

CROFTON PARK AND HONOR OAK PARK NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

Vacant land on Whitbread Road Workshop - 20th July 2017

JULY 2017

Prepared by James Garrett of Community Engagement Consultants on behalf of the Crofton Park & Honor Oak Park Neighbourhood Forum





Community Engagement Consultants T27, Allen House Business Centre The Maltings Station Road Sawbridgeworth Hertfordshire CM21 9JX

Tel: 01279 883 270

Ref: PROJ008/17/CS/JG/V1

Date: July 2017

COPYRIGHT

The contents of this document must not be copied or reproduced in whole or in part without the written consent of Community Engagement Consultants.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Feedback forms

Appendix 2 Powerpoint Presentation Slides

1.0 Introduction and Background

- 1.1 Community Engagement Consultants were appointed by the Crofton Park & Honor Park Neighbourhood Forum as an independent facilitator to assist with the delivery of a workshop for residents on the policy wording of Neighbourhood Plan policy SA1 Land at Whitbread Road.
- 1.2 It was felt an independent third party should be enlisted in order to keep the consultation impartial. The volunteers involved were close to the subject and felt someone without prior knowledge of the site could be more objective and impartial with regard to the site.
- 1.3 The workshop was held at the Crofton Park Community Library and Re-use Centre on Darfield Road on 20th July from 19:30 until approximately 21:00. Residents adjacent and surrounding the policy proposal site were invited to attend the workshop by leaflets delivered to their homes.

The Workshop

- 2.1 The workshop was well attended, with 10 residents attending. All of those in attendance lived in the flats adjacent to the policy proposal site or in the neighbouring homes on Comerford Road and Whitbread Road. A number of the residents in attendance also confirmed they had leased garages adjacent to the policy proposal site.
- 2.2 The workshop began with James Garrett (Community Engagement Consultants) herein referred to as JG explaining his involvement in the workshop and the reasons for being asked to be involved in this stage of the consultation. Kay Pallaris then explained the process with the Neighbourhood Plan thus far, and went on to explain the background and purpose of the workshop. Tony Rich then spoke about the Rural Urban Synthesis Society and explained how community land trusts operate. Grazio Milano then explained his personal experience of a community land trust and how this could work for residents.
- 2.3 Following the introductions and background the workshop was split into three table groups in order to look at the policy proposal site and to look at the wording of the policy. Views were mixed, with many of those in attendance raising concerns over loss of light, overdevelopment, parking and access issues. There were also some interesting positive comments such as identifying an opportunity to enhance the access to the courtyard and improved provision for play facilities at the Comerford Road open space end of the courtyard.

The comments which were made on feedback forms can be seen in Appendix 1. The main comments made during the workshop have been summarised below.

Positives

- The policy as written is clear and easy to understand
- Support for SA1 V affordable or community led housing would be preferable
- Lots of open space and easy access to the countryside.
- The range of shops and other services including the surgery, dentist and community café.

Negatives

- Loss of light and privacy concerns
- Parking concerns
- Safety concerns access and visibility are poor coming in and out
- The range of shops and other services including the surgery, dentist and community café.
- Loss of garages would be a concern to those residents who currently have a garage

The opportunities

- Unused cycle storage facility which could be better utilised or re-purposed
- Opportunity to redesign the site and improve access and visibility splays
- Opportunity for electric car charging

Summary of verbal responses

- 2.4 The comments made during the workshop were varied. There were however some key themes which were identified by all those in attendance which can be summarised as follows:
 - Parking concerns
 - Loss of open space
 - In support of community led housing in favour of private developer
 - Policy wording is generally clear

Summary of Comments from Feedback Forms

Comment	Action
Policy V supported	
Policy II supported	
Facility for electric car charging could be incorporated	Add to the policy
Opportunity to make play space for children safer	Add to the policy

Dustbin storage collection	
I am not at all happy, my wife is disabled, I	Insert intention to minimise any loss of car
am too. I will lose my car park. No thought	parking in the policy
to where I can park my car.	
I find it very difficult to understand lots of	u u u
the proposals. I'm not against building but	
the thought of losing garages makes my	
heart break.	
The land should be reserved for community	Endorses policy
led housing if done properly	
The orientation of the building should be in	A design detail. The need for minimal impact
line with the existing houses as No. 19	on existing residents to be included in policy
onwards with the windows facing towards	
the street and the rear. The building should	
also be set back off the street so as to not	
protrude much past the front of No.19.	
The light study should be considered as light	Would be appraised should any planning
flows from the east onto house No.19. Light	application be submitted
would be blocked if a building is built along	
the same lines as current houses. Privacy	
concern.	
My concern is about the safety of the	This should not be affected by any
children and the safety of cars entering and	development of the site
leaving the site	
I would like the layout to be just two flats.	Height to be appraised should any
Because if they are too high we would lose	application be submitted but principle of
the view, and overcrowded.	policy is that any development should be in-
	keeping with surroundings
I want to see a shared space for both	Policy to state that safety of pedestrians
children and adults.	must not be adversely affected by proposal
We would like to see the car park have	This is not part of the policy
allocated spaces.	

2.5 The workshop concluded at approximately 21:00. The consultees who wished to do so left their contact details so they could be kept up to date with the Neighbourhood Plan and with regard to the policy for the Whitbread Road.

Conclusion

2.6 The workshop successfully engaged residents adjoining the policy proposal site. Some residents expressed concern they had missed earlier consultation stages so this workshop provided a platform for them to express their feedback. The comments made will now be taken on board when revising the policy wording for the Whitbread Road SA1 site.

Revision: 26/07/2017

Report prepared by	Internally reviewed by
James Garrett	Andrew Owen
	Aan

James Garrett BA (Hons) PG Dip TP Community Engagement Consultants

01279 883 270

info@communityengagementconsultants.co.uk www.communityengagementconsultants.co.uk

APPENDIX 1

Feedback Form

What are your comments on the policy wording?

The crienlation of the boilding should be in line with the existing houses he wonty oriwards.

In a windows freeing towards the street and the control of the the windows should also be net took off the street so as to not portrate much pass the fruntil world.

What are the considerations to be addressed in the building layout of future proposals'

Do you think the site should be reserved for community led housing priced at an affordable level?

AURCA CHICAMON I DAGE GOH

Feedback Form

What are your comments on the policy wording?

What are the considerations to be addressed in the building layout of future proposals'

THE WANT Grad Stones be townoced for the Charons has been THE Charons on the Charons of blacks the Asian and the Charons the Saland Se blacks of A Saland in Saland Se blacks of A Saland Asian The Saland Charons in Saland Charons of Charons the Charons of Saland Charons of Charons I knows the Charons of Char

Do you think the site should be reserved for community led housing priced at an affordable level?

Feedback Form

What are your comments on the policy wording?

JAM UNDERSTONE CAN

What are the considerations to be addressed in the building layout of future proposals'

Do you think the site should be reserved for community led housing priced at an affordable level?

Fe

Feedback Form

What are your comments on the policy wording?

To in story clear the room of the policies gates

What are the considerations to be addressed in the building layout of future proposals'

I fragge to the follows the company of the fraggest of the same forwards. I have the following the following the test of the following the fol

Do you think the site should be reserved for community led housing priced at an affordable level?

YES IF DONE CORRECTLY

Feedback Form

What are your comments on the policy wording?

W. . . will pe prefixed to will be supposted X ... high design and build quality to high design anality X

What are the considerations to be addressed in the building layout of future proposals'

- facility for Electric Court footprint to facing factoring - step and secrety more entired space - colours found within imposing at current form Space footpass to dieter?

Do you think the site should be reserved for community led housing priced at an affordable level.?

1/65- Community Care Taust would be a professional by Site of Site of

Rose Aldrobotom

Feedback Form

What are your comments on the policy wording?

My concern is about the saftly of the saftly of the shiften conscious are go most corsone ported between

What are the considerations to be addressed in the building layout of future proposals'

I would like the layout to be just 2 Hats. Receive if they too high we would looke the view, and over-crowded.

Do you think the site should be reserved for community led housing prized at an affordable level?

for both bid one adult, we would the corr ported about

APPENDIX 2

See separate presentation